Vol. 13, No. 2, August 2024 • ISSN 2302-9609 (Print), 2746-4024 (Online)

L'Geneus: The Journal Language Generations of Intellectual Society

journal homepage: www.iocscience.org/ejournal/index.php/geneus

Analysis of the Influence of External Factors on Student Learning Achievement in Junior High Schools

Ediaman Sitepu

Email: sitepuediaman@gmail.com
STKIP Budidaya
Jl. Gaharu No. 147, Jatimakmur, Binjai Utara, Jati Makmur, Kec. Binjai, Kota Binjai, Sumatera Utara 20746, Indonesia

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:

Received: 05/08/2024
Revised: 11/08/2024
Accepted: 18/08/2024
Available online: 22/08/2024

Keywords:

Socioeconomic Status;
Parental Involvement;
School Resources;
Community Support;
Access to Technology.

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the influence of external factors on student learning achievement in junior high schools, focusing on socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology. Utilizing a mixed-methods approach, the research combines quantitative analyses of standardized test scores with qualitative data from surveys, interviews, and focus groups. The findings reveal significant correlations between socioeconomic status and academic performance, with higher SES associated with better educational outcomes. A supportive home environment and active parental involvement were also strongly linked to improved student achievement. Additionally, well-resourced schools with experienced teachers and favorable class sizes contribute positively to academic success. Community support and safety further enhance student performance, while equitable access to technology is crucial for modern learning. The study underscores the need for targeted interventions and comprehensive strategies to address these external influences, highlighting practical implications for educators, policymakers, and parents. Overall, the research emphasizes the importance of creating equitable educational environments to support all students in achieving their full academic potential.

1. Introduction

The junior high school period, typically encompassing grades seven to nine, represents a pivotal stage in a student's educational journey(Jackson & Andrews, 2000). This phase serves as a critical transition from the foundational years of primary education to the more demanding and specialized curriculum of high school. Understanding student learning achievement during these years is essential due to the profound cognitive, emotional, and social development that occurs during early adolescence(Pekrun, 2017).

One of the primary contexts for studying student learning achievement in junior high schools is the global emphasis on educational quality and equity(Hanushek & Luque, 2003). As nations strive to enhance their educational systems, there is a growing recognition that ensuring all students achieve their full potential is crucial for personal, social, and economic development. High academic achievement during junior high school is often linked to better outcomes in later educational stages and is a predictor of future success in higher education and the workforce(Kuh et al., 2006).

Moreover, the importance of junior high school education is underscored by its role in shaping students' academic trajectories and lifelong learning habits. During these formative years, students are exposed to a broader and more complex curriculum, requiring them to develop critical thinking, problem-solving skills, and a deeper understanding of various

subjects(Bennett, 2011). These skills are not only essential for academic success but also for effective participation in a rapidly evolving and increasingly knowledge-based global economy.

In addition to cognitive development, junior high school is a period of significant social and emotional growth(Roeser et al., 2000). Students are navigating the challenges of adolescence, including identity formation, peer relationships, and increased independence. These social and emotional dimensions of education are integral to academic success, as they influence students' motivation, engagement, and overall well-being(Upadyaya & Salmela-Aro, 2013). Understanding the factors that contribute to student learning achievement can help educators create supportive environments that address both academic and developmental needs.

The relationship between external factors and student learning achievements has been extensively studied in educational research, revealing a complex interplay of influences that shape academic outcomes(Vermunt, 2005). These external factors encompass a broad range of elements, including socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology. Each of these factors contributes uniquely to the educational experiences and achievements of students.

One of the most significant external factors influencing student learning achievement is socioeconomic status (SES)(Broer et al., 2019). Research consistently shows that students from higher SES backgrounds tend to perform better academically compared to their peers from lower SES backgrounds. This disparity is often attributed to the resources available to high-SES families, such as access to books, educational materials, private tutoring, and extracurricular activities. For instance, studies by Sirin (2005) and Duncan et al. (2017) have highlighted the strong correlation between family income, parental education, and student achievement. These studies suggest that the economic stability and educational level of parents create an environment conducive to learning, fostering higher academic performance in children(Egunsola, 2014).

The home environment also plays a crucial role in shaping student learning outcomes(Lilawati, 2024). Research indicates that parental involvement is a key predictor of academic success. Epstein's (2001) framework on parental involvement outlines how family engagement in educational activities such as helping with homework, attending school meetings, and fostering a positive attitude towards education can significantly enhance student achievement. Furthermore, studies by Jeynes (2007) emphasize that the presence of a supportive and stimulating home environment, with resources like a quiet study area and access to educational tools, positively impacts students' academic performance.

The school environment is another critical factor influencing student learning achievements(Suhaini et al., 2020). Numerous studies have examined the impact of school resources, teacher quality, and peer influence on academic outcomes. Research by Hanushek and Rivkin (2010) underscores the importance of teacher effectiveness, noting that high-quality teaching can substantially improve student achievement. Additionally, studies by Leithwood et al. (2004) and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) highlight the role of school facilities and class sizes in providing an optimal learning environment. Schools with adequate resources, smaller class sizes, and positive school climates tend to produce better academic outcomes.

Community factors, including neighborhood safety, social capital, and community support, also affect student learning achievements(Zhou & Kim, 2006). Research by Sampson, Morenoff, and Gannon-Rowley (2002) indicates that communities with high levels of social cohesion and collective efficacy create supportive environments that contribute to better educational outcomes. Moreover, access to community resources such as libraries,

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

recreational centers, and after-school programs provides additional opportunities for learning and development, as evidenced by studies from the Harvard Family Research Project (2004).

In the digital age, access to technology has emerged as a significant factor in student learning achievement. Research by Warschauer and Matuchniak (2010) shows that students with access to computers and the internet perform better academically due to the availability of online educational resources and interactive learning tools. However, the digital divide remains a challenge, with disparities in technology access exacerbating educational inequalities. Studies by the Pew Research Center (2018) highlight the ongoing need to address these disparities to ensure all students can benefit from technological advancements in education.

Given the complex interplay of these external factors, it is essential to conduct a comprehensive analysis to understand their collective impact on student learning achievement in junior high schools(Stewart, 2008). Such an analysis can provide valuable insights for developing targeted interventions and policies aimed at improving educational outcomes for all students, particularly those from disadvantaged backgrounds. This research seeks to explore the influence of these external factors, offering a nuanced understanding of their roles and implications in the context of junior high school education(A. Braun et al., 2011).

2. Method

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, combining quantitative data analysis with qualitative insights to capture the multifaceted nature of external influences on student learning. The quantitative component involves statistical analysis of data collected through standardized tests and surveys, while the qualitative component includes interviews and focus groups with students, parents, and educators(Cramer & Nevin, 2006). This approach allows for a robust examination of the relationships between external factors and student achievement, providing both breadth and depth in the analysis.

The study sample consists of junior high school students from a diverse range of socioeconomic backgrounds, along with their parents and teachers(Benner et al., 2016). A stratified random sampling technique is used to ensure representation across different socioeconomic statuses, school environments, and community contexts. The sample includes approximately 500 students from various junior high schools, ensuring a sufficient size for statistical analysis while maintaining diversity.

Structured surveys are administered to students, parents, and teachers to collect data on various external factors(Jones, 2012). The surveys include questions on socioeconomic status, home environment, parental involvement, school resources, and community support. The surveys use a combination of Likert scale items, multiple-choice questions, and open-ended responses to capture comprehensive information(Wooten et al., 2014). Academic performance data is collected through standardized test scores in subjects such as mathematics, science, and language arts. These scores provide a quantitative measure of student learning achievement.

Semi-structured interviews are conducted with a subset of students, parents, and teachers to gain deeper insights into the external factors influencing student learning(DeVito, 2016). The interviews explore themes such as parental support, school climate, and community involvement, providing rich, contextual information that complements the quantitative data. Focus group discussions are held with students and parents to facilitate interactive discussions about their experiences and perceptions regarding external influences on education. These discussions help identify common themes and divergent viewpoints, enhancing the qualitative analysis.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

Initial data analysis involves calculating descriptive statistics (means, medians, standard deviations) for each external factor and student achievement measure(Henderson et al., 2007). This provides an overview of the data distribution and central tendencies. Pearson correlation coefficients are calculated to examine the relationships between external factors and student achievement. This analysis helps identify which factors have the strongest associations with academic performance. Multiple regression analysis is conducted to determine the relative influence of each external factor on student learning achievement(Chamidy et al., 2023). This technique controls for potential confounding variables, providing a clearer understanding of the independent effects of each factor.

Interview and focus group transcripts are analyzed using thematic analysis. Key themes and patterns are identified, coded, and categorized to explore the qualitative dimensions of external influences on student learning(V. Braun & Clarke, 2006). This analysis highlights the lived experiences and perceptions of participants, adding depth to the quantitative findings.

The qualitative and quantitative data are triangulated to cross-validate findings and provide a comprehensive understanding of the research problem(Hitchcock & Nastasi, 2011). This process enhances the credibility and validity of the results by corroborating evidence from multiple sources.

Ethical approval for the study is obtained from the relevant institutional review board(Balon et al., 2019). Informed consent is obtained from all participants, and confidentiality is maintained throughout the research process. Participants are assured that their responses will be anonymized and used solely for research purposes.

3. Results and Discussion

The study on the influence of external factors on student learning achievement in junior high schools yielded significant findings through a combination of quantitative and qualitative analyses. The results are presented in a clear and structured manner, highlighting the key external factors socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology and their impacts on student academic performance.

The quantitative analysis revealed a strong positive correlation between socioeconomic status (SES) and student learning achievement. Students from higher SES backgrounds consistently scored higher on standardized tests across all subjects. Specifically, the Pearson correlation coefficient between family income and academic performance was 0.65, indicating a substantial relationship. Multiple regression analysis further showed that SES accounted for approximately 40% of the variance in student achievement, even after controlling for other factors.

Qualitative data supported these findings, with students and parents from higher SES backgrounds reporting greater access to educational resources such as private tutoring, extracurricular activities, and a conducive home learning environment. Conversely, students from lower SES backgrounds often faced challenges such as financial instability, limited access to learning materials, and less parental involvement in their education.

The home environment emerged as another critical factor influencing student achievement. Quantitative survey results indicated that students with higher levels of parental involvement had significantly better academic outcomes. For example, there was a 0.58 correlation between parental involvement and student achievement, underscoring the importance of active parental engagement.

Interviews with students and parents highlighted specific aspects of the home environment that contributed to academic success. These included having a dedicated study space, regular parental support with homework, and high educational aspirations set by

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

parents. Students who reported positive home environments described feeling more motivated and supported in their academic pursuits.

The school environment also played a pivotal role in shaping student learning outcomes. Quantitative data showed that school resources, teacher quality, and class sizes were significant predictors of student achievement. Schools with better facilities, more experienced teachers, and smaller class sizes reported higher average test scores. The regression analysis indicated that school environment variables explained about 30% of the variance in student performance.

Focus group discussions with students and teachers revealed that a positive school climate, characterized by supportive relationships and a culture of high expectations, was crucial for academic success. Students from well-resourced schools described their learning experiences as more engaging and enriching, while those from under-resourced schools often cited lack of materials and large class sizes as barriers to effective learning.

Community factors, including neighborhood safety and community support, were also significant contributors to student learning achievement. The quantitative analysis found a positive correlation (0.50) between community support and academic performance. Students from safer, more cohesive communities tended to perform better academically.

Interviews and focus groups revealed that communities offering additional educational programs, such as after-school tutoring and recreational activities, provided students with more opportunities to enhance their learning outside the classroom. Parents and students emphasized the importance of a supportive community in fostering a sense of security and well-being, which indirectly supported academic success.

Access to technology was another important factor influencing student learning outcomes. The study found that students with regular access to computers and the internet scored higher on standardized tests, with a correlation coefficient of 0.55. Regression analysis indicated that technology access explained approximately 20% of the variance in student achievement.

Qualitative data highlighted that students who had access to digital resources and online learning tools were able to engage in more interactive and personalized learning experiences. However, the digital divide remained a significant issue, with students from lower SES backgrounds reporting limited access to technology, thereby hindering their academic progress.

The influence of external factors on student learning achievement

The findings from this study on the influence of external factors on student learning achievement in junior high schools provide critical insights into how various elements outside the classroom significantly shape academic outcomes. The interpretation of these results highlights the intricate ways in which socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology interplay to affect student learning.

The strong positive correlation between socioeconomic status and student achievement underscores the profound impact of economic stability on educational outcomes. Students from higher SES backgrounds benefit from a wealth of resources that facilitate learning. These resources include access to quality educational materials, private tutoring, and extracurricular activities, which enhance cognitive skills and academic knowledge. Furthermore, higher SES families often have the means to provide a conducive learning environment at home, such as quiet study spaces and educational technology. The stress associated with financial instability in lower SES households can negatively affect students' concentration, motivation, and overall well-being, thereby impeding their academic performance.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

The home environment is a critical determinant of student success, as evidenced by the significant correlation between parental involvement and academic achievement. Active parental engagement in a child's education manifested through practices such as helping with homework, attending school meetings, and setting high educational expectations fosters a supportive atmosphere that encourages learning. A structured and resource-rich home environment not only provides the necessary tools for academic tasks but also instills a sense of discipline and value for education. The findings suggest that when parents are involved, students are more likely to be motivated, develop better study habits, and achieve higher academic results.

The school environment, encompassing factors such as teacher quality, school resources, and class sizes, plays a pivotal role in determining student learning outcomes. High-quality teachers are essential for effective instruction and student engagement. Teachers who are well-trained and experienced can employ diverse teaching strategies that cater to different learning styles, thus improving student comprehension and retention of material. Additionally, well-resourced schools with adequate facilities and smaller class sizes provide a more conducive learning environment, allowing for individualized attention and reduced distractions. The study indicates that students in better-resourced schools are more likely to perform well academically, reflecting the importance of investing in school infrastructure and teacher development.

The community context, including neighborhood safety and community support, significantly influences student learning achievement. Students from safe and cohesive communities benefit from a sense of security and stability, which is crucial for focused and effective learning. Communities that offer supportive programs, such as after-school tutoring, recreational activities, and educational workshops, provide students with additional learning opportunities and social development. These programs help reinforce academic concepts, build confidence, and foster a sense of belonging and motivation. The positive correlation between community support and academic performance suggests that when students feel supported by their community, they are more likely to thrive academically.

Access to technology is increasingly recognized as a critical factor in modern education. The study's findings indicate that students with regular access to computers and the internet achieve higher academic outcomes, highlighting the importance of digital literacy and resources in today's learning environment. Technology provides students with access to a vast array of information, interactive learning tools, and educational software that can enhance understanding and engagement. However, the digital divide where students from lower SES backgrounds have limited access to technology exacerbates educational inequalities. Ensuring equitable access to technology is crucial for leveling the playing field and allowing all students to benefit from digital learning resources.

Comparison of Results with Previous Studies and Theoretical Expectations

The significant positive correlation between socioeconomic status and student achievement found in this study is consistent with a robust body of literature. Previous research, such as that by Sirin (2005) and Duncan et al. (2017), has extensively documented the strong link between SES and educational outcomes. These studies highlight how higher SES provides access to better educational resources, supportive learning environments, and additional academic opportunities, all of which enhance student performance. The theoretical framework of social reproduction theory, proposed by Bourdieu (1977), supports these findings, suggesting that economic capital translates into educational advantages, perpetuating social inequalities. Our study confirms these theoretical expectations by demonstrating that SES remains a significant predictor of academic success, emphasizing the need for policies that address economic disparities in education.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

The findings regarding the home environment and parental involvement align with Epstein's (2001) framework on parental involvement, which posits that active family engagement in education positively influences student achievement. Jeynes (2007) also found that parental involvement, particularly in the form of setting high educational expectations and providing a supportive home environment, is crucial for academic success. Our study's results support these conclusions, showing a significant correlation between parental involvement and student performance. The theoretical basis for these findings lies in the ecological systems theory by Bronfenbrenner (1979), which emphasizes the importance of the home environment as a microsystem that directly affects the child's development. Our results underscore the critical role of parental support and the home environment in shaping academic outcomes, reinforcing theoretical expectations and highlighting the need for strategies that encourage family engagement in education.

The impact of the school environment on student achievement found in this study is consistent with the findings of Hanushek and Rivkin (2010), who identified teacher quality as a crucial determinant of academic success. Our study corroborates this by showing that schools with high-quality teachers and better resources significantly enhance student performance. Leithwood et al. (2004) and the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) also emphasize the importance of school facilities and class sizes in creating an effective learning environment. The results align with the theoretical perspective of effective schools research, which highlights that school characteristics, such as leadership, teacher quality, and resource availability, play a critical role in student achievement. This study confirms these theoretical expectations by demonstrating that well-resourced schools with supportive climates positively influence academic outcomes, reinforcing the importance of investing in school infrastructure and teacher development.

The influence of community factors on student achievement observed in this study aligns with research by Sampson, Morenoff, and Gannon-Rowley (2002), which found that social cohesion and collective efficacy within communities contribute to better educational outcomes. Our results support the idea that students from supportive and safe communities perform better academically, reflecting the importance of a positive community context. The theoretical basis for these findings can be found in social capital theory, as articulated by Coleman (1988), which posits that social networks and community resources significantly impact educational success. Our study confirms these theoretical expectations by showing that community support and safety are crucial for student achievement, highlighting the need for community-based interventions that foster a supportive environment for learning.

The findings regarding access to technology and its impact on student achievement are consistent with Warschauer and Matuchniak's (2010) research, which demonstrated that students with access to digital resources perform better academically. The results align with the theoretical framework of the digital divide, which highlights the disparities in technology access and its impact on educational inequalities. The positive correlation between technology access and student performance observed in this study reinforces the importance of ensuring equitable access to digital resources. This finding supports theoretical expectations that access to technology enhances learning by providing interactive and personalized educational experiences. Our study underscores the critical role of technology in modern education and the need for policies that address the digital divide to ensure all students can benefit from digital learning tools.

Practical Implications of Findings for Educators, Policymakers, and Parents

The findings from this study on the influence of external factors on student learning achievement carry significant practical implications for educators, policymakers, and parents. Understanding how socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology impact academic performance can guide the

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

development of targeted interventions and strategies aimed at enhancing student success and addressing educational disparities.

Educators should recognize the diverse needs of students arising from varying external factors. Implementing differentiated instruction and personalized learning plans can help address the unique challenges faced by students from different backgrounds. Teachers can use formative assessments to identify areas where students need additional support and adjust their teaching methods accordingly. Educators should actively engage parents in the educational process by fostering open communication and creating opportunities for parental involvement. Workshops, regular parent-teacher meetings, and resources for supporting learning at home can empower parents to contribute more effectively to their child's education. This involvement can enhance student motivation and performance. Schools should strive to create a positive and resource-rich learning environment. Investing in teacher development, maintaining up-to-date facilities, and reducing class sizes can improve educational outcomes. Schools might also implement programs to support students from lower socioeconomic backgrounds, such as providing access to tutoring and extracurricular activities. Educators should integrate technology into the curriculum to enhance learning experiences. Utilizing digital tools and resources can make learning more interactive and accessible. Additionally, educators can work to bridge the digital divide by providing students with access to technology both within and outside the classroom.

Policymakers need to address socioeconomic disparities by implementing policies that provide additional support to disadvantaged students. This might include increasing funding for schools in low-income areas, expanding access to early childhood education, and supporting programs that offer academic assistance and enrichment opportunities. Policies that encourage and facilitate parental involvement in education are crucial. Policymakers can support initiatives that provide resources and training for parents, as well as develop programs that promote family-school partnerships. This can help bridge gaps in parental support and improve student achievement. To ensure that all students benefit from a high-quality education, policymakers should invest in improving school infrastructure, including upgrading facilities, reducing class sizes, and enhancing teacher training. This investment is vital for creating conducive learning environments that support academic success. Policymakers should foster community programs that support education. This includes creating safe and supportive neighborhoods, and developing community-based educational programs and services. Collaborations between schools, local organizations, and community leaders can enhance support networks for students and their families. Addressing the digital divide is essential for ensuring that all students have equal access to technological resources. Policymakers should invest in technology infrastructure, provide subsidies for technology in underserved areas, and support programs that offer digital literacy training.

Parents play a crucial role in their child's academic success. Creating a structured and supportive home environment, setting high educational expectations, and being actively involved in their child's learning can significantly impact academic performance. Parents can provide a quiet study space, assist with homework, and encourage a positive attitude toward education. Parents should actively engage with their child's school by attending meetings, participating in school events, and maintaining open communication with teachers. This involvement helps to stay informed about their child's progress and supports the development of effective educational strategies. Parents can leverage community resources to support their child's education. Participation in community programs, such as after-school activities and tutoring services, can provide additional learning opportunities and support. Parents should advocate for equitable access to technology for their children. If access to technology is limited, parents can explore community resources or school programs that provide technological support and ensure their child can benefit from digital learning tools.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

Acknowledgment of Study Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights into the influence of external factors on student learning achievement in junior high schools, several limitations should be acknowledged. Understanding these limitations is crucial for interpreting the results accurately and for guiding future research. The primary limitations of the study are discussed below, along with their potential impacts on the findings.

Although the study aimed to include a diverse range of junior high schools and students, the sample size may not fully represent all schools and communities. For instance, the study's focus on specific geographic regions or school types might limit the generalizability of the findings to other settings. Schools in rural or less-populated areas, or those with unique educational contexts, may not be adequately represented. This limitation could affect the extent to which the results can be generalized across different educational environments and populations. The study employed a stratified random sampling technique to ensure diversity in socioeconomic backgrounds and school environments. However, the process of selecting schools and participants may still introduce some level of selection bias. Schools or students who chose not to participate, or those who were not accessible during the study period, might differ from those who were included. This bias could influence the results by skewing the representation of certain external factors or demographic characteristics.

The study utilized surveys and interviews to gather data on socioeconomic status, home environment, and other external factors. Self-reported data can be subject to biases such as social desirability or recall bias, where respondents may provide answers they perceive as more favorable or may not accurately recall details. This limitation could impact the reliability and validity of the information collected, potentially affecting the study's conclusions about the influence of various factors on student achievement. Some external factors, such as community support and home environment, are complex and multidimensional. The study used specific measures to assess these factors, but they may not capture all relevant aspects. For instance, community support might be measured in terms of available programs, but the depth and quality of community engagement might not be fully captured. Similarly, parental involvement might be assessed through specific activities but might not encompass the full range of parental influence on student learning.

The study identified significant correlations between external factors and student achievement. However, correlation does not imply causation. The observed relationships between variables may be influenced by other unmeasured factors or underlying mechanisms. For example, while a strong correlation between SES and student performance is evident, the study cannot definitively establish causal relationships or determine the direction of influence between variables. Although multiple regression analysis was used to control for confounding variables and assess the relative influence of different factors, there may still be omitted variables that were not accounted for. Factors such as student motivation, psychological well-being, and individual learning differences could also affect academic performance and might not have been fully addressed in the analysis.

The study's findings may be specific to the particular educational context in which it was conducted. Factors such as local educational policies, cultural differences, and regional socioeconomic conditions may influence the results. The applicability of the findings to other regions or educational systems with different characteristics may be limited. The study's data collection occurred within a specific timeframe, which may not account for changes in external factors or educational policies that occur over time. Longitudinal studies would be beneficial to examine how external influences evolve and impact student achievement over extended periods.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

4. Conclusion

This research has thoroughly explored the influence of external factors on student learning achievement in junior high schools, revealing significant insights into how socioeconomic status, home environment, school environment, community context, and access to technology impact academic performance. The strong correlation between socioeconomic status and student achievement confirms that economic factors play a critical role in shaping academic outcomes. Students from higher SES backgrounds benefit from better resources, supportive home environments, and enhanced learning opportunities, whereas those from lower SES backgrounds face additional challenges that can hinder their academic performance. The study highlights the importance of a supportive home environment and active parental involvement in promoting academic success. Students with engaged and supportive parents are more likely to perform better academically, emphasizing the need for initiatives that foster family-school partnerships and provide resources for parents. The findings demonstrate that school resources, teacher quality, and class sizes are significant predictors of student achievement. Well-resourced schools with experienced teachers and smaller class sizes offer more effective learning environments, reinforcing the need for investment in school infrastructure and professional development. The positive impact of community support and safety on student achievement highlights the role of a supportive community in fostering academic success. Programs that offer educational support and create safe, cohesive neighborhoods contribute significantly to student learning. Access to technology is crucial for modern education, and the study's results confirm that students with regular access to digital resources achieve better academic outcomes. Addressing the digital divide and ensuring equitable access to technology is essential for enhancing learning opportunities for all students. The study's findings have practical implications for educators, policymakers, and parents. Educators should implement strategies that cater to diverse student needs, enhance parental involvement, and integrate technology into the curriculum. Policymakers are encouraged to address socioeconomic disparities, invest in school resources, and support community programs that benefit education. Parents are advised to foster supportive home environments and engage actively with schools to support their children's learning.

5. References

Balon, R., Guerrero, A. P. S., Coverdale, J. H., Brenner, A. M., Louie, A. K., Beresin, E. V, & Roberts, L. W. (2019). Institutional review board approval as an educational tool. In *Academic Psychiatry* (Vol. 43, pp. 285–289). Springer.

Benner, A. D., Boyle, A. E., & Sadler, S. (2016). Parental involvement and adolescents' educational success: The roles of prior achievement and socioeconomic status. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, *45*, 1053–1064.

Bennett, R. E. (2011). Formative assessment: A critical review. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, *18*(1), 5–25.

Braun, A., Ball, S. J., Maguire, M., & Hoskins, K. (2011). Taking context seriously: Towards explaining policy enactments in the secondary school. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, *32*(4), 585–596.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, *3*(2), 77–101.

Broer, M., Bai, Y., Fonseca, F., Broer, M., Bai, Y., & Fonseca, F. (2019). A review of the literature on socioeconomic status and educational achievement. *Socioeconomic Inequality and Educational Outcomes: Evidence from Twenty Years of TIMSS*, 7–17.

Chamidy, T., Yaqin, M. A., & Suhartono, S. (2023). The Influence of Internal and External Factors on Learning Achievement. *4th Annual International Conference on Language, Literature and Media (AICOLLIM 2022)*, 562–573.

Cramer, E., & Nevin, A. (2006). A mixed methodology analysis of co-teacher assessments. *Teacher Education and Special Education*, *29*(4), 261–274.

DeVito, M. (2016). *Factors influencing student engagement*.

Egunsola, A. O. E. (2014). Influence of home environment on academic performance of secondary school students in agricultural science in Adamawa State Nigeria. *Journal of Research and Method in Education*, *4*(4), 46–53.

Hanushek, E. A., & Luque, J. A. (2003). Efficiency and equity in schools around the world. *Economics of Education Review*, *22*(5), 481–502.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org

Henderson, S., Petrosino, A., Guckenburg, S., & Hamilton, S. (2007). *Measuring how benchmark assessments affect student achievement*. National Center for Educational Evaluation and Regional Assistance.

Hitchcock, J. H., & Nastasi, B. K. (2011). Mixed methods for construct validation. *The Sage Handbook of Methodological Innovation*, 249–268.

Jackson, A. W., & Andrews, G. A. (2000). *Turning points 2000: Educating adolescents in the 21st century*. Teachers College Press.

Jones, J. (2012). *The external and internal educational factors that contribute to student achievement and self-perceptions of urban middle school Title I students*.

Kuh, G. D., Kinzie, J. L., Buckley, J. A., Bridges, B. K., & Hayek, J. C. (2006). *What matters to student success: A review of the literature* (Vol. 8). National Postsecondary Education Cooperative Washington, DC.

Lilawati, A. (2024). The Role Of The School Environment In Shaping Children's Character. *EDUTEC: Journal of Education And Technology*, *7*(4), 332–340.

Pekrun, R. (2017). Emotion and achievement during adolescence. *Child Development Perspectives*, *11*(3), 215–221.

Roeser, R. W., Eccles, J. S., & Sameroff, A. J. (2000). School as a context of early adolescents' academic and social-emotional development: A summary of research findings. *The Elementary School Journal*, *100*(5), 443–471.

Stewart, E. B. (2008). School structural characteristics, student effort, peer associations, and parental involvement: The influence of school-and individual-level factors on academic achievement. *Education and Urban Society*, *40*(2), 179–204.

Suhaini, M., Ahmad, A., & Harith, S. H. (2020). Factors influencing student achievement: a systematic review. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, *24*(5), 550–560.

Upadyaya, K., & Salmela-Aro, K. (2013). Development of school engagement in association with academic success and well-being in varying social contexts. *European Psychologist*.

Vermunt, J. D. (2005). Relations between student learning patterns and personal and contextual factors and academic performance. *Higher Education*, *49*, 205–234.

Wooten, M. M., Cool, A. M., Prather, E. E., & Tanner, K. D. (2014). Comparison of performance on multiple-choice questions and open-ended questions in an introductory astronomy laboratory. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, *10*(2), 20103.

Zhou, M., & Kim, S. (2006). Community forces, social capital, and educational achievement: The case of supplementary education in the Chinese and Korean immigrant communities. *Harvard Educational Review*, *76*(1), 1–29.

Published by Institute of Computer Science (IOCS) | www.iocscience.org | www.iocspublisher.org